arXiv:1610.06104v1 [gr-qc] 19 Oct 2016

Greybody factor of scalar field from Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter black hole

Jamil Ahmed [a] **and K. Saifullah**[a,b]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan*
[b] *Center for the Fundamental Laws of Nature, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

E-mail: jahmed@student.qau.edu.pk, ksaifullah@fas.harvard.edu

Abstract: In this work we derive a general expression for the greybody factor of non-minimally coupled scalar fields in Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter spacetime in low frequency approximation. In particular case of zero momentum, greybody factor tends to zero in low frequency limit as frequency squared goes to zero for non-vanishing coupling. We also elaborate the significance of the results by giving formulae of differential energy rate and general absorption cross section. The greybody factor gives insight into the spectrum of Hawking radiations.

1 Introduction

The study of asymptotically non-flat spacetime geometries received a lot of attention after it was discovered that our Universe has entered into a new phase of accelerated expansion [1]. Among these non-flat geometries de Sitter spacetime is of great interest due to its rich symmetries and also because it could incorporate the accelerated expansion of the Universe due to the presence of non-zero cosmological constant in the Einstein field equations. As predicted, the Universe is in continous expansion, so in far future it will pass through a de-Sitter phase. Further, de Sitter geometry could also approximate the inflationary phase of our Universe [2]. The fact that all black hole spacetimes of Kerr-Newman family can be generalized to include a cosmological constant makes black hole de Sitter spacetimes an interesting field of investigation. De Sitter spacetime is the maximally symmetric Lorentzian space having positive curvature. In four dimensions the symmetry group is $SO(1,4)$ and topology is $R \times S$. Due to the structure of de Sitter spacetime inertial observers are surrounded by cosmological horizons, which are a characteristic of spacetimes having positive cosmological constant. Also dS/CFT correspondence enhances the interest in the study de Sitter spacetimes as they provide connection with conformal field theories. There has been a considerable interest in the study of greybody factor of scalar and ferrmionic radiations from asymptotically flat spacetimes [3–13].

Black hole emission and absorption phenomena is related to this important quantity known as greybody factor. It is this quantity that makes it different from emission and absorption of black body. The question is how this quantity originated? It is generated by an effective potential barrier by black hole spacetimes. This potential quantum mechanically allows some of the radiation to transmit and remaining to reflect back. This leads to the frequency dependent greybody factor. Due to this factor black hole thermal radiation formula is different from the black body radiation formula. Greybody factor not only alters the thermal radiation formula but is also important to compute the partial absorption cross section of black holes [14–16]. In literature there are investigations for grey body factor of scalar fields for Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole. These include the cases of lowest partial modes in low energy regimes [17–20] .

In this paper we use the simple matching technique to solve the radial equation resulting from the Klein Gordon equation in the background of the Riessner-Nordström-de Sitter black hole. In this method we divide the space into two regimes, namely near the black hole horizon and near the cosmological horizon and find solutions for radial equations in both the regimes separately. Then we stretch these solutions to an intermediate point r_m. The choice of r_m is such that

$$r_h < r_m, \quad r_c > r_m \quad \text{and } \omega r_m \ll 1, \tag{1.1}$$

where r_h and r_c correspond to black hole and cosmological horizons respectively and ω is the frequency.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will discuss the Klein Gordon equation and the profile of effective potential in the back ground of Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter black hole. In Section 3 we compute the greybody factor, starting

from near black hole horizon, near cosmological horizon, and then matching them at an intermediate point. This yields expressions for greybody factor and spectrum of Hawking radiations. At the end we make some concluding remarks.

2 Klein Gordon equation and profile of effective potential

The spacetime metric for Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter black hole is given by,

$$ds^2 = h(r)dt^2 - \frac{1}{h(r)}dr^2 - r^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where,

$$h(r) = 1 - \frac{4M}{r} + \frac{Q}{r^2} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}. \tag{2.2}$$

Here M is mass, Q is charge of the black hole and Λ is cosmological constant. The Klein Gordon equation for massless, uncharged scalar field is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu\left[\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\Phi(t,r,\theta,\varphi)\right] = \xi R_4\Phi. \tag{2.3}$$

Here R_4 is Ricci scalar and ξ is a coupling constant. In matrix form the above line element can be written as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{h} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^2\sin^2\theta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

Also,

$$\sqrt{-g} = r^2\sin\theta. \tag{2.5}$$

Using these values in equation (2.3), it takes the form

$$\frac{1}{r^2\sin\theta}\partial_t\left(\frac{r^2\sin\theta}{h(r)}\partial_t\Phi\right) + \frac{1}{r^2\sin\theta}\partial_r\left(r^2\sin\theta\,(-h)\,\partial_r\Phi\right) + \frac{1}{r^2\sin\theta}\partial_\theta\left(-\sin\theta\partial_\theta\Phi\right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{r^2\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi\left(\partial_\varphi\Phi\right) = \xi R_4\Phi. \tag{2.6}$$

Let

$$\Phi(t,r,\theta,\varphi) = e^{-\iota\omega t}R(r)Y(\theta,\varphi), \tag{2.7}$$

so that from the radial part of equation (2.6), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2h\right)\frac{dR(r)}{dr} + \left[\frac{\omega^2}{h} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \xi R_4\right]R(r) = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

where $l(l+1)$ are the eigenvalues coming from the (θ,φ) part.

Before solving equation (2.8) we will discuss the profile of effective potential due to which grey body factor originates. We employ the following transformation on equation (2.8)

$$R\left(r\right) = \frac{U\left(r\right)}{r} \tag{2.9}$$



Figure 1. Profile of effective potential for different values of the cosmological constant



Figure 2. Profile of effective potential for different values of the coupling constant

and the tortoise coordinate

$$x \equiv \int \frac{dr}{h}, \tag{2.10}$$

such that

$$\frac{d}{dx} = h\frac{d}{dr}, \frac{d^2}{dx^2} = h^2\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + hh'\frac{d}{dr}.$$

Thus equation (2.8) takes the form

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \omega^2 - V_{eff}\left(r\right)\right) U\left(r\right) = 0, \tag{2.11}$$

with

$$V_{eff}\left(r\right) = h\left(r\right)\left(\frac{l\left(l+1\right)}{r^2} + \xi R_4 + \frac{h'}{r}\right). \tag{2.12}$$

In Fig. 1, we draw the profile of effective potential for different values of the cosmological constant and in Fig. 2 it is depicted for different values of the coupling constant. It is observed that the increase in the value of cosmological constant leads to the increase in gravitational barrier, which subsequently suppress the emission of scalar fields. A similar effect is observed for the coupling constant as well.

3 Computation of the greybody factor

3.1 Near black hole horizon solution

Equation (2.8) is the master equation of our interest. We will solve this equation in two regions separately namely near the black hole horizon and the cosmological horizon by using a semi-classical approach known as simple matching technique. Then we will match both solutions to an intermediate region to get the analytical expression for the greybody factor.

For the near horizon region $r \sim r_h$, we will perform the following transformation to simplify the radial equation [20]

$$r \to f = \frac{h\left(r\right)}{1-\Xi r^2}, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\Xi = \frac{\Lambda}{21}.$$

Thus we get

$$\frac{df}{dr} = \left(1-f\right)\frac{B(r_h)}{r_h\left(1-\Xi r_h^2\right)}, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$B(r_h) = 1 - \left(\frac{Q}{r_h^2} - \Lambda r_h^2 + \frac{2h\left(r_h\right)\Xi r^2}{1-\Xi r_h^2}\right)\frac{1}{1-f}. \tag{3.3}$$

Using equations (3.1) and (3.2) in (2.8), we obtain

$$f(1-f)\frac{d^2R(f)}{df^2} + (1-C_*f)\frac{dR(f)}{df} + \left[\frac{F_*^2}{B^2(r_h)\left(1-f\right)f} - \frac{\lambda_h\left(1-\Xi r_h^2\right)}{B^2(r_h)\left(1-f\right)}\right]R(f) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Here

$$F_* = \omega r_h, \tag{3.5}$$

$$C_* = \frac{r_h\left(1-\Xi r_h^2\right)}{\left(1-f\right)^2 B\left(r_h\right)} - \frac{2r_h^2\Xi\left(1-\Xi r_h^2\right)}{\left(1-f\right)B\left(r_h\right)}, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\lambda_h = \left[l\left(l+1\right) + \xi R_4^{(h)}\right] r_h^2. \tag{3.7}$$

In order to further simplify the above equation we use field redefinition

$$R(f) = f^{\mu_1}\left(1-f\right)^{\nu_1} F(f). \tag{3.8}$$

In equation (3.4) we use this definition of $R(f)$ to get

$$f\left(1-f\right)\frac{d^2F(f)}{df^2} + \left[1+2\mu_1 - \left(2\mu_1+2\nu_1+C_*\right)f\right]\frac{dF}{df} + \left(\frac{\mu_1^2}{f} - \mu_1^2 + \mu_1 - 2\mu_1\nu_1 + \frac{\nu_1^2}{1-f} - \nu_1^2 - \frac{2\nu_1}{1-f}\right.$$

$$\left. + \nu_1 - \mu_1 C_* + \frac{\nu_1 C_*}{1-f} - \nu_1 C_* + \frac{F_*^2}{B^2(r_h)f} + \frac{F_*^2}{B^2(r_h)\left(1-f\right)} - \frac{\lambda_h\left(1-\Xi r_h^2\right)}{B^2(r_h)\left(1-f\right)}\right)F(f) = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

Now define

$$a_1 = \mu_1 + \nu_1 + C_* - 1, \tag{3.10}$$

$$b_1 = \mu_1 + \nu_1, \tag{3.11}$$

$$c_1 = 1 + 2\mu_1. \tag{3.12}$$

Also constraints coming from the coefficients of $F(f)$ give

$$\mu_1^2 + \frac{F_*^2}{B^2(r_h)} = 0, \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\nu_1^2 + \nu_1(C_* - 2) + \frac{F_*^2}{B^2(r_h)} - \frac{\lambda_h\left(1 - \Xi r_h^2\right)}{B^2(r_{h)}} = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

From here we find the values of μ and ν as

$$\mu_1 = \pm\iota\frac{F_*}{B(r_h)}, \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\nu_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left[(2 - C_*) \pm \sqrt{(2 - C_*)^2 - 4\left(\frac{F_*^2}{B^2(r_h)} - \frac{4\lambda_h\left(1 - \Xi r_h^2\right)F_{lm}}{B^2(r_{h)}}\right)}\right]. \tag{3.16}$$

Thus equation (3.9) by virtue of equation (3.10) and constraints (3.14)-(3.15) becomes

$$f\left(1 - f\right)\frac{d^2F(f)}{df^2} + \left[c_1 - \left(1 + a_1 + b_1\right)f\right]\frac{dF(f)}{df} - a_1 b_1 F(f) = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

In the near horizon region the solution can be written in the form of general hypergeometric function, which has the form

$$R(f)_{NH} = A_1 f^{\mu_1}(1 - f)^{\nu_1} F\left(a, b, c; f\right) + A_2 f^{-\mu_1}(1 - f)^{\nu_1} F\left(a - c + 1, b - c + 1, 2 - c; f\right) \tag{3.18}$$

where A_1 and A_2 are arbitrary constants. For the near horizon case there exists no outgoing mode, this means power coefficients have same values, $\mu_1 = -\mu_1$ and $\nu_1 = -\nu_1$ and so $A_2 = 0$. Thus we get

$$R(f)_{NH} = A_1 f^{\mu_1}(1 - f)^{\nu_1} F\left(a, b, c; f\right). \tag{3.19}$$

3.2 Near cosmological horizon solution

We now solve the radial equation (2.8) close to the cosmological horizon r_c, for which $h\left(r\right)$ can be written as

$$h\left(r\right) = 1 - \Xi r^2. \tag{3.20}$$

We employ the following transformation on equation (2.8)

$$r \to h\left(r\right), \tag{3.21}$$

so that

$$\frac{dh}{dr} = \frac{(1-h)}{r}\left(1 - 2\Xi r^2\right). \tag{3.22}$$

Using this equation (2.8) becomes

$$h(1-h)\frac{d^2R(h)}{dh^2} + (1-2h)\frac{dR(h)}{dh} + \left[\frac{F_c^2}{B_c^2\,(1-h)\,h} - \frac{\lambda_c}{(1-h)\,B_c^2}\right]R(h) = 0. \tag{3.23}$$

We redefine the radial function as

$$R(h) = h^{\mu_2}\,(1-h)^{\nu_2}\,X\,(h)\,. \tag{3.24}$$

Using equation (3.24) in (3.23) it takes the form

$$h\,(1-h)\,\frac{d^2X(h)}{dh^2} + \left[c_2 - (1 + a_2 + b_2)\,f\right]\frac{dX(h)}{dh} - a_2 b_2 X(h) = 0. \tag{3.25}$$

In the above we defined

$$a_2 = \mu_2 + \nu_2 + 1, \tag{3.26}$$

$$b_2 = \mu_2 + \nu_2, \tag{3.27}$$

$$c_2 = 1 + 2\mu_2. \tag{3.28}$$

Also the constraints coming from the coefficients of $X\,(h)$ are

$$\mu_2^2 + \frac{F_c^2}{B_c^2} = 0 \tag{3.29}$$

and

$$\nu_2^2 + \frac{F_*^2}{B_c^2} - \frac{\lambda_c}{B_c^2} = 0. \tag{3.30}$$

From the above two equations we obtain the values of power coefficients as

$$\mu_{2\pm} = \pm\frac{F}{B}, \nu_{2\pm} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{F_*^2}{B_c^2} - \frac{\lambda_c}{B_c^2}}. \tag{3.31}$$

Equation (3.25) is again a hypergeometric equation. Near the cosmological constant both the modes, incoming and outgoing exist, so the general solution can be written as

$$R(h)_{CH} = B_1 h^{\mu_2}(1-h)^{\nu_2} F\,(a_2, b_2, c_2; h) + B_2 h^{-\mu_2}(1-h)^{\nu_2} F\,(a_2 - c_2 + 1, b_2 - c_2 + 1, 2 - c_2; h)\,. \tag{3.32}$$

3.3 Matching to an intermediate region

In order to match the above two solutions of the radial equation i.e., near horizon and near cosmological horizon solutions, to an intermediate region we use matching technique. We first shift the near horizon solution given in equation (3.19) to an intermediate region, for which we change the argument of the hypergeometric function from f to $1-f$. This gives the following [7, 21].

$$R(f)_{NH} = A_1 f^{\mu_1}\,(1-f)^{\nu_1}\,\{\frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_1-a_1-b_1)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}F\,(a_1,b_1,a_1+b_1-c_1+1;1-f)$$

$$+(1-f)^{c_1-a_1-b_1}\,\frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(a_1+b_1-c_1)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)}F\,(c_1-a_1,c_1-b_1,c_1-a_1-b_1+1;1-f)\,\}. \quad (3.33)$$

In the limit $r \gg r_h$, $f \to 1$ and we can use

$$(1-f)^{\nu_1} \simeq \left(\frac{r_h}{r}\right)^{\nu_1} \simeq \left(\frac{r}{r_h}\right)^{l}, \quad (3.34)$$

and

$$(1-f)^{\nu_1+c_1-a_1-b_1} \simeq \left(\frac{r_h}{r}\right)^{2-B_h-\nu_1} \simeq \left(\frac{r}{r_h}\right)^{-(l+1)}. \quad (3.35)$$

So in an intermediate region the solution will take the following form

$$R(r)_{BH} = A_1\frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_1-a_1-b_1)}{\Gamma(c_1-a_1)\Gamma(c_1-b_1)}\left(\frac{r}{r_h}\right)^{l} + A_1\frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(a_1+b_1-c_1)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)}\left(\frac{r}{r_h}\right)^{-(l+1)}, \quad (3.36)$$

or

$$R(r)_{BH} = \mathcal{F}_1 r^{-(l+1)} + \mathcal{F}_2 r^{l}. \quad (3.37)$$

In the above we have used

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = A_1\frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(a_1+b_1-c_1)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)}, \quad (3.38)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = A_1\frac{\Gamma(c_1)\Gamma(c_1-a_1-b_1)}{\Gamma(c_1-a_1)\Gamma(c_1-b_1)}. \quad (3.39)$$

Now we turn to equation (3.32) and shift its argument of hypergeometric function from h to $1-h$. Thus we can write

$$(1-h)^{\nu_2} \simeq \left(\frac{r}{r_c}\right)^{\nu_2} \simeq \left(\frac{r}{r_c}\right)^{-(l+1)}, \quad (3.40)$$

and

$$(1-h)^{\nu_2+c_2-a_2-b_2} \simeq \left(\frac{r}{r_c}\right)^{-(1+2\nu_2)} \simeq \left(\frac{r}{r_c}\right)^{l}. \quad (3.41)$$

By using the above approximations and properties of hypergeometric functions [21] we can write equation (3.37) as

$$R(r)_C = \left[B_1 \frac{\Gamma(c_2)\Gamma(c_2-a_2-b_2)}{\Gamma(c_2-a_2)\Gamma(c_2-b_2)} + B_2 \frac{\Gamma(2-c_2)\Gamma(c_2-a_2-b_2)}{\Gamma(1-a_2)\Gamma(1-b_2)}\right]\left(\frac{r}{r_c}\right)^{-(l+1)}$$

$$\left[B_1 \frac{\Gamma(c_2)\Gamma(a_2+b_2-c_2)}{\Gamma(a_2)\Gamma(b_2)} + B_2 \frac{\Gamma(2-c_2)\Gamma(a_2-b_2-c_2)}{\Gamma(a_2+1-c_2)\Gamma(b_2+1-c_2)}\right]\left(\frac{r}{r_c}\right)^{l}, \tag{3.42}$$

or

$$R(r)_C = (\digamma_3 B_1 + \digamma_4 B_2)\, r^{-(l+1)} + (\digamma_5 B_1 + \digamma_6 B_2)\, r^l. \tag{3.43}$$

Here we have used

$$\digamma_3 = \frac{\Gamma(c_2)\Gamma(c_2-a_2-b_2)}{\Gamma(c_2-a_2)\Gamma(c_2-b_2)}, \digamma_4 = \frac{\Gamma(2-c_2)\Gamma(c_2-a_2-b_2)}{\Gamma(1-a_2)\Gamma(1-b_2)}, \tag{3.44}$$

$$\digamma_5 = \frac{\Gamma(c_2)\Gamma(a_2+b_2-c_2)}{\Gamma(a_2)\Gamma(b_2)}, \digamma_6 = \frac{\Gamma(2-c_2)\Gamma(a_2-b_2-c_2)}{\Gamma(a_2+1-c_2)\Gamma(b_2+1-c_2)}. \tag{3.45}$$

3.4 Greybody factor

Greybody factor for the emission of scalar fields can be defined by the amplitudes of the waves at the stretched cosmological horizon solution [20] that is

$$|A_l|^2 = 1 - \left|\frac{B_2}{B_1}\right|^2. \tag{3.46}$$

As the power law in (3.37) and (3.43) is same, we match these two solutions to get the values of B_1 and B_2 as

$$B_1 = \frac{\digamma_1\digamma_6 - \digamma_2\digamma_4}{\digamma_3\digamma_6 - \digamma_4\digamma_5}, \tag{3.47}$$

$$B_2 = \frac{\digamma_2\digamma_3 - \digamma_1\digamma_5}{\digamma_3\digamma_6 - \digamma_4\digamma_5}. \tag{3.48}$$

Using the values from equations (3.47) and (3.48) in (3.46), we get the analytic formula for greybody factor for arbitrary mode l as

$$|A_l|^2 = 1 - \frac{\digamma_2\digamma_3 - \digamma_1\digamma_5}{\digamma_1\digamma_6 - \digamma_2\digamma_4}. \tag{3.49}$$

In Fig. 3, we depict the greybody factor $|A_l|^2$ as a function of dimensionless parameter ωr_h for $\xi = 0$, and in Fig. 4 for $\xi = 0.5$ and in Fig. 5 for $\xi = 1$. It is observed that in the case of no coupling, the greybody factor is zero for low energy approximation, whereas it is significant for nonzero coupling.



Figure 3. Greybody factor as a function of ωr_h for $\xi = 0$



Figure 4. Greybody factor as a function of ωr_h for $\xi = 0.5$



Figure 5. Greybody factor as a function of ωr_h for $\xi = 1$

3.5 Energy emission

The flux spectrum, that is, the number of massless scalar particles emitted by the black hole per unit time is given by [22]

$$\frac{dN(\omega)}{dt} = \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\frac{1}{e^{\frac{\omega}{T_H}}-1}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(2l+1)\,A_l(\omega)\,. \tag{3.50}$$

By using the value from equation (3.49) into (3.50) we get

$$\frac{dN(\omega)}{dt} = \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\frac{1}{e^{\frac{\omega}{T_H}}-1}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(2l+1)\left(1-\frac{F_2F_3-F_1F_5}{F_1F_6-F_2F_4}\right). \tag{3.51}$$

Also, the differential energy rate is given by [22]

$$\frac{d^2E(\omega)}{dtd\omega} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\omega}{e^{\frac{\omega}{T_H}}-1}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(2l+1)\,A_l(\omega)\,. \tag{3.52}$$

On using the value of the greybody factor we get

$$\frac{d^2E(\omega)}{dtd\omega} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\omega}{e^{\frac{\omega}{T_H}}-1}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(2l+1)\left(1-\frac{F_2F_3-F_1F_5}{F_1F_6-F_2F_4}\right). \tag{3.53}$$

The relevance of the low frequency limit is evident from the above results, that the coupling is only significant in low frequency regime. As the coupling to scalar field is irrelevant in high frequency limits, the enhancement in emission rate occurs only at low frequencies.

3.6 Generalized absorption cross section

The definition of absorption cross section for asymptotically flat spacetimes is not valid for asymptotically non-flat spacetimes. For these cases the general formula for absorption cross section is given by [22–24]

$$\sigma = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sigma_l = \frac{\pi}{\omega^2}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(2l+1)\,A_l(\omega)\,. \tag{3.54}$$

Using the value from equation (3.49) we get

$$\sigma = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sigma_l = \frac{\pi}{\omega^2}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(2l+1)\left(1-\frac{F_2F_3-F_1F_5}{F_1F_6-F_2F_4}\right).$$

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have derived the analytic expression of greybody factor for non-minimally coupled scalar fields from Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter black hole in low energy approximation. This expression is valid for general partial modes. For coupling to scalar curvature, which can be regarded as mass or charge terms, grey body factor tend to zero like ω^2,

irrespective of the values of the coupling parameter. Non-zero grey body factor in low frequency regime means that there is non-zero Hawking emission rate of Hawking radiations. The matching technique is used in deriving formula for grey body factor. The significance of greybody factor is elaborated by giving formulae of differential rate of energy and generalized absorption cross section from grey body factor.

If we put charge $Q = 0$ in our analysis, we recover the previously reported results [20]. The effective potential and greybody factor are also analyzed graphically. We observe that the height of gravitational barrier increases with the increase of ξ, the coupling parameter. Also, the emission of scalar particles by Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter black hole is suppressed as either the angular momentum number or the non-minimal coupling parameter increases.

Acknowledgments

KS acknowledges the support of the Center for the Fundamental Laws of Nature, Harvard University, during his recent visit. A research grant from the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan under its Project No. 20-2087 is gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] S. Perlmutter et al., Astrophys. J. **517** (1999) 565.

[2] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **23** (1981) 347.

[3] C. Doran, A. Lasenby, S. Dolan and I. Hinder, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2002) 124020.

[4] S. Dolan, C. Doran and A. Lasenby, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 064005.

[5] L. C. B. Crispino, S. R. Dolan and E. S. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. Lett **102** (2009) 231103.

[6] Y. S. My Uy and H. W. Lee, Class. Quantum Grav. **20** (2003) 3533.

[7] S. Chen and J. Jing, Phys. Lett. B **691** (2010) 254.

[8] M. Liu, B. Yu, R. Wang and L. Xu, Mod. Phys. Lett. **25** (2010) 2431.

[9] J. M. Maldacena and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 861.

[10] S. S. Gubserv and I. R. Klebanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 4491.

[11] I. R. Klebanov and S. D. Mathur, Nucl. Phys. B **500** (1997) 115.

[12] M. Cvetic and F. Larsen, Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997) 4994.

[13] W. T. Kim and J. J. Oh, Phys. Lett. B **461** (1999) 189.

[14] B. Carter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33** (1974) 558.

[15] D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. D **13** (1976) 558.

[16] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **14** (1976) 3251.

[17] P. Kanti, J. Grain and A. Barrau, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 104002.

[18] T. Harmark, J. Natario and R. Schiappa, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys **14** (2010) 727.

[19] L. C. B. Crispino, A. Higuchi, E. S. Oliveira and J. V. Rocha, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 104034.

[20] P. Kanti, T. Pappas and N. Pappas, Phys. Rev. D **90** (2014) 124077.

[21] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical functions (Acedamic, New York, 1996).

[22] L. C. B. Crispino, A. Higuchi, E. S. Oliveira and J. V. Rocha, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 104034.

[23] L. C. B. Crispino, E. S. Oliveira, and G. E. A. Matsas, Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 107502.

[24] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, B. Diu, and F. Laloe, Quantum Mechanics, Vol II John Wiley & Sons, New York (1977).